FIRM Journal of Management Studies, Vol. 10(1), March, 2025
ISSN: 2527-5852 (Print) | ISSN 2541-3473 (Online)

FMEA-Based Risk Assessment of Sterilization Process in CSSD: A Comprehensive Review of Its Implications for Hospital Quality and Patient Safety

Radite Nusasenjaya [1*], Rudi Ruhdiat[2], Hendrawati Utomo[3], Harianto Ludirdja[4], Melanie Husna[5]
[1.]Faculty of Medicine, President University, Bekasi Regency, Indonesia; Primaya East Bekasi Hospital, Bekasi Regency, Indonesia
[2.]Faculty of Medicine, President University, Bekasi Regency, Indonesia; Bekasi Regency General Hospital (RSUD Kabupaten Bekasi), Indonesia
[3.]KesJa Primary Clinic, Bekasi Regency, Indonesia
[4.]Indonesian Association of Occupational Health and Safety
[5.]Primaya East Bekasi Hospital, Bekasi Regency, Indonesia

*radite.n@president.ac.id

Abstract

The Central Sterile Supply Department (CSSD) plays a critical role in maintaining hospital service standards and ensuring patient safety by delivering sterile medical instruments. However, the intricacies of the sterilization process pose numerous potential failure modes that may lead to adverse clinical outcomes, notably healthcare-associated infections. This integrative review explores the implementation of Failure Mode and Effects Analysis (FMEA) as a structured methodology for identifying and mitigating risks within the CSSD sterilization workflow. Drawing on insights from sixteen peer-reviewed studies, the findings underscore FMEA's utility in detecting high-risk failure points across key process stages, namely decontamination, sterilization, storage, and distribution. Recurring vulnerabilities identified include mislabeling, residual instrument moisture, and deviations in sterilization parameters such as temperature and pressure. The application of Risk Priority Number (RPN) scoring supports the systematic prioritization of corrective actions based on risk magnitude. Additionally, several studies report that augmenting FMEA with complementary tools, such as structured procedural frameworks and cause-and-effect diagrams—leads to improved process reliability, shortened sterilization cycle durations, and a reduced incidence of instrument-related infections. Beyond operational enhancements, the integration of FMEA contributes to greater alignment with hospital accreditation requirements and patient safety standards. Overall, this review affirms FMEA's value as a proactive, evidence-based approach to risk management in the CSSD, advancing the continuous refinement of healthcare delivery systems

Keywords: *Central Sterile Supply Department (CSSD); Failure Mode and Effects Analysis (FMEA); Sterilization Process; Hospital Quality Improvement; Patient Safety.*

Introduction

The sterilization of medical equipment constitutes a critical pillar of hospital operations, directly influencing service quality and patient safety. Within this framework, the Central Sterile Supply Department (CSSD) assumes a central role in ensuring that all instruments undergo rigorous and standardized decontamination and sterilization procedures. Failures or inconsistencies at any stage of this process can elevate the risk of nosocomial infections, compromise operational efficiency, and endanger patient safety (Yeo & Meena, 2022; Bodane et al., 2024).

Article History: *Submitted 11-01-2025, Revised 05-03-2025, Accepted 30-03-2025*

Despite its importance, the sterilization process continues to encounter significant operational challenges in many healthcare settings. Frequently reported issues include inaccurate labelling, residual moisture post-sterilization, and delays in retrieving instruments from clinical units (Liu et al., 2021; Patra et al., 2023). These challenges are often rooted in inadequate standardization and insufficient use of structured risk analysis tools (Kang et al., 2022). Literature indicates that recurring problems in CSSD operations often stem from weak implementation of risk assessments, inconsistent adherence to Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs), and limited evaluation of failure modes based on empirical data (Padmasari & Harjanto, 2023; Putra & Masduqi, 2023). Evidence suggests that consistent application of SOPs significantly reduces sterilization failures, thereby enhancing both patient safety and CSSD efficiency (Wahid & Siregar, 2024).

To mitigate these vulnerabilities, Failure Mode and Effects Analysis (FMEA) has emerged as a widely adopted method for systematic risk identification and mitigation in hospital service areas, including CSSD. FMEA enables healthcare institutions to map potential failure modes, assess their severity, likelihood, and detectability, and use Risk Priority Number (RPN) calculations to prioritize corrective actions (Najafpour et al., 2017).

Numerous studies have affirmed that implementing FMEA in CSSD improves sterilization reliability and reduces error rates that threaten patient outcomes (Liu et al., 2021; Yeo & Meena, 2022). Nonetheless, challenges remain in its practical application, particularly due to gaps in staff training, human resource constraints, and insufficient managerial support (Sagherian & Jaye, 2023; Bal & Balaraju, 2025).

This study explores the application of FMEA as a structured approach for identifying, analysing, and mitigating risks within CSSD sterilization workflows. It focuses specifically on addressing the key challenges of weak risk analysis, inconsistent SOP adherence, and limited data-informed evaluation of procedural failures.

A deeper understanding of the interplay between potential failure modes, their consequences for service quality, and related safety risks underscores the strategic importance of FMEA in advancing hospital quality assurance systems. Beyond enhancing sterilization effectiveness and efficiency, the adoption of FMEA supports compliance with accreditation standards and aligns with broader public expectations for high-quality, safe healthcare services.

Literature Review

The Central Sterile Supply Department (CSSD) is a critical unit within the hospital service system, tasked with ensuring the availability of sterile medical instruments and devices to support patient safety and prevent healthcare-associated infections (HAIs) (Bal & Balaraju, 2025; Jing et al., 2022). The performance of CSSD depends heavily on the rigorous application of quality standards across all stages of the sterilization process—including decontamination, washing, inspection, packaging, sterilization, and storage (Bodane et al., 2024). The effectiveness of these processes is often evaluated using performance indicators that focus on cleanliness, packaging integrity, and sterilization efficacy to guarantee the safety of distributed instruments (Jing et al., 2022). Continuous feedback from internal users has proven valuable in enhancing CSSD efficiency, reinforcing a culture of safety, and reducing errors that could affect the overall quality of hospital services (Joseph et al., 2021). Therefore, a systematic quality

management approach within CSSD is fundamental to the success of infection control programs and to strengthening the hospital's reputation.

The application of Failure Mode and Effect Analysis (FMEA) in sterilization risk assessment has proven effective in identifying, analyzing, and mitigating potential failures that may compromise sterilization quality and endanger patient safety. This includes risks such as needle-stick injuries, chemical exposure, burns, and poor ergonomic conditions among CSSD staff (Venzin et al., 2022; Zhang et al., 2022). By calculating the Risk Priority Number (RPN), healthcare facilities can implement targeted corrective actions—such as training in personal protective equipment (PPE) usage, improving sharp waste disposal audits, and optimizing decontamination procedures—thereby enhancing compliance with safety standards, minimizing damage to instruments, and improving user and patient satisfaction (Venzin et al., 2022; Zhang et al., 2022).

High-quality management of CSSD serves as a cornerstone for ensuring the quality of hospital services and patient safety. In this context, FMEA emerges as a vital strategy due to its structured methodology for identifying potential failures, assessing associated risks, and developing preemptive measures (Putra & Masduqi, 2023; Yeo & Meena, 2022). Studies have consistently shown that a structured application of FMEA in CSSD can significantly improve the reliability of cleaning, packaging, and sterilization procedures, thus reinforcing hospital service quality and patient safety (Zheng, 2023). Furthermore, the proactive nature of FMEA facilitates robust risk control, strengthens quality monitoring systems, and contributes to lowering infection rates linked to medical device use (Yeo & Meena, 2022).

Each stage of the CSSD sterilization process, namely cleaning, inspection, packaging, sterilization, and storage - carries inherent risks that, if not properly managed, could lead to sterilization failure and heightened HAIs (Bodane et al., 2024). Therefore, FMEA provides a structured approach to identify and mitigate such risks at each stage (Kammoun et al., 2021; Zheng, 2023). Effective CSSD management requires not only technical excellence but also continuous quality improvement, supported by user feedback and data-based evaluations. Joseph et al. (2021) emphasize that internal user satisfaction surveys play a crucial role in enhancing service quality, demonstrating the importance of involving users in quality assurance systems. Physical infrastructure and workflow design—such as the separation of decontamination, clean, and sterile zones, and the use of unidirectional workflows—also contribute significantly to reducing contamination risks and maintaining process integrity (Bal & Balaraju, 2025). In addition, the use of physical, chemical, and biological indicators provides essential validation for sterilization processes.

To enhance the effectiveness and efficiency of sterilization services, Kammoun, Hachicha, and Aljuaid (2021) proposed an integrated approach using four quality tools—SADT, FMEA, Cause-Effect Analysis (CEA), and Quality Function Deployment (QFD). This holistic strategy strengthens the FMEA framework by combining it with advanced quality management tools to assess risks, identify root causes of failures, and prioritize improvements aligned with user needs.

Based on the literature review, three primary challenges are commonly identified in the CSSD sterilization process:

- *Suboptimal Risk Analysis in the Sterilization Process*
  Risk analysis within CSSD is often conducted reactively, following incidents or failures. However, proactive methods such as FMEA offer a structured and preventive approach to

identifying and managing risks. Studies have demonstrated that FMEA enables the early identification of critical failure points and supports the implementation of targeted interventions (Guedon et al., 2016; Najafpour et al., 2017; Kang et al., 2022). For instance, Kammoun et al. (2021) highlighted that integrating FMEA with other quality tools substantially improves sterilization quality and reduces the risk of cross-infection. Similarly, Sagherian and Hylton Jaye (2023) underscored the effectiveness of FMEA in high-risk environments such as infectious disease hospitals.

- *Inconsistent Implementation of Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs)*
  Despite the existence of SOPs governing sterilization procedures, their implementation is frequently inconsistent. Factors such as insufficient staff training, high turnover, and inadequate daily monitoring contribute to this inconsistency (Putra & Masduqi, 2023). Padmasari and Harjanto (2023) argue that hospital management plays a critical role in ensuring that SOPs are not merely administrative formalities but are effectively embedded into daily practices. In some instances, non-compliance with SOPs has led to equipment malfunctions or delays in instrument delivery to operating rooms, directly jeopardizing patient safety (Guedon et al., 2016).
- *Limited Data-Based Evaluation of Potential Failures*
  CSSD evaluations are often not systematically data-driven. Many hospitals lack integrated information systems for monitoring and recording failures in real time. In contrast, data-driven evaluations enable earlier detection of hazards and facilitate faster corrective actions (Bal & Balaraju, 2025; Venzin et al., 2022). Yeo and Meena (2022) emphasize that the success of FMEA implementation is highly reliant on the availability of historical data and collaboration across departments, including technical, nursing, and management staff. Guédon et al. (2016) also point out that integrated systems connecting CSSD and operating rooms help reduce delays in instrument delivery and improve coordination.

The design and operational management of the CSSD physical environment - including strict zoning, unidirectional workflows, and environmental controls such as temperature, humidity, and HEPA filtration - are integral to infection prevention efforts (Bal & Balaraju, 2025). Continuous quality improvement initiatives, especially those incorporating advanced tools as proposed by Kammoun et al. (2021), provide innovative solutions for enhancing patient safety through optimized sterilization practices.

In short, the integration of FMEA into CSSD management - comprising process mapping, root cause analysis, prioritization based on user needs, and data-driven quality monitoring - forms a robust framework for improving sterilization services. This approach not only enhances the quality and efficiency of CSSD operations but also plays a pivotal role in safeguarding patient safety and meeting accreditation and public service quality standards.

Research Methodology

This study employs a literature review methodology to examine the application of the Failure Mode and Effect Analysis (FMEA) method in the sterilization processes of the Central Sterile Supply Department (CSSD). The literature review approach was chosen as it allows for a comprehensive synthesis of findings from a range of previous studies conducted in hospital settings. By analyzing these studies, the researcher aims to identify best practices in FMEA implementation and explore the challenges and risks encountered throughout the sterilization process. As noted by Najafpour et al. (2017), the FMEA method has demonstrated effectiveness in systematically identifying and evaluating potential failures across various hospital

departments, including CSSD.

Data Sources and Inclusion Criteria

This study analyzed 16 scientific articles sourced from nationally and internationally accredited journals. The following inclusion criteria were applied:

1. Articles published within the last twelve years (2014–2025),
2. Focus on CSSD operations, medical device sterilization, hospital risk management, and/or FMEA application in healthcare settings,
3. Inclusion of empirical data or findings relevant to the research objectives.

The majority of selected articles were retrieved from open-access journal platforms to ensure accessibility and transparency in research sourcing.

Data Collection Technique

The data collection process employed a documentation technique involving systematic review of articles that met the established inclusion criteria. Each article was read thoroughly to extract key information, with relevant content recorded and categorized based on the following elements:

1. Research objectives,
2. Methodological approach,
3. Findings related to sterilization processes,
4. FMEA implementation,
5. Impact on service quality and patient safety.

Data Analysis Technique

Data were analyzed using content analysis, a qualitative method that facilitates the identification of recurring themes, categorization of findings, and comparison of insights across studies. This technique is commonly applied in literature reviews to build theoretical or conceptual models grounded in existing research (Yeo & Meena, 2022).

For instance, several studies emphasized the challenge of insufficient data-driven risk evaluation in CSSD operations (Venzin et al., 2022), while others highlighted the critical role of consistent implementation of standard operating procedures (SOPs) and cross-disciplinary collaboration in successful FMEA applications (Padmasari & Harjanto, 2023; Kammoun et al., 2021). The analysis also revealed key research gaps, such as the need for integrated information systems connecting CSSD with operating rooms, which could enhance coordination and reduce delays (Guédon et al., 2016).

Findings and Discussion

A review of ten scientific articles demonstrates that the application of Failure Mode and Effect Analysis (FMEA) in CSSD sterilization processes has a significant influence on enhancing service quality and ensuring patient safety. Despite its proven benefits, the implementation of FMEA continues to face several challenges, including inadequate risk identification, inconsistent adherence to standard operating procedures (SOPs), and the lack of integrated, data-driven evaluation systems.

Systematic studies confirm FMEA as an effective method for proactively identifying potential failures in hospital services, particularly in the medical device sterilization process (Najafpour et al., 2017). Furthermore, research highlights that combining FMEA with other quality improvement tools enhances both the efficiency and effectiveness of sterilization procedures (Zhang et al., 2022; Zheng et al., 2023).

1. Irregular Implementation of Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs)

Several studies point to non-compliance with established sterilization SOPs as a primary risk factor in CSSD operations. In certain healthcare settings, deviations from standardized procedures have led to increased risks of cross-contamination and decreased quality of care (Padmasari & Harjanto, 2023). Putra and Masduqi (2023) also noted that infrequent internal audits and monitoring exacerbate this issue by allowing procedural lapses to persist unaddressed.

The integration of FMEA in such contexts facilitates the identification of critical control points and supports the reinforcement of procedural standards, thereby mitigating operational risks and improving overall compliance.

2. Lack of Data-Based Evaluation Systems

A recurring issue across multiple studies is the reliance on fragmented, manual reporting systems that lack integration and real-time functionality. This significantly limits the ability to track sterilization performance and identify recurring failures (Venzin et al., 2022).

Yeo and Meena (2022) emphasized the need to strengthen hospital information systems and promote the use of digital databases to record deviations and incidents. Such systems, when paired with FMEA, allow for more accurate risk prioritization and support data-informed decision-making aimed at continuous process improvement.

3. Process Complexity and Low Team Collaboration

Sterilization of medical devices is a complex, cross-departmental process involving CSSD, surgical units, and logistics. Poor communication and weak interdepartmental coordination often result in delays, misplaced instruments, or errors in equipment delivery (Guédon et al., 2016).

FMEA proves valuable in uncovering failure modes that arise from these interdisciplinary interactions. It also promotes a collaborative culture by involving stakeholders from multiple departments in joint problem-solving initiatives. Bal and Balaraju (2025) argued that the reliability of sterilization processes hinges not only on technical procedures but also on effective coordination and seamless distribution management across units.

Positive Impact of FMEA on Service Quality and Patient Safety. FMEA functions as a proactive risk management strategy, allowing healthcare facilities to anticipate and mitigate failures before they impact patient care. Evidence from infectious disease hospitals showed a marked reduction in medical device contamination rates following the adoption of FMEA, alongside increased confidence among healthcare personnel in sterilization procedures (Sagherian & Jaye, 2023).

Moreover, studies reported significant improvements in sterilization outcomes, including higher pass rates in disinfection quality tests after FMEA-based training and interventions (Kang et al., 2022). These findings confirm that FMEA delivers tangible, measurable benefits, and supports real-world enhancements in healthcare safety and service quality.

Conclusion and Recommendations

This study underscores that the implementation of Failure Mode and Effect Analysis (FMEA) in Central Sterile Supply Department (CSSD) sterilization processes is both a relevant and strategic approach to improving healthcare service quality and safeguarding patient safety. FMEA provides a systematic framework for identifying potential failure modes at each stage of sterilization, assessing the severity and likelihood of associated risks, and prioritizing corrective actions based on their frequency, impact, and detectability.

The literature indicates that the success of FMEA implementation depends heavily on consistent compliance with standard operating procedures (SOPs), effective cross-departmental communication, the availability of reliable quality monitoring data, and active engagement from hospital leadership. Challenges such as SOP non-compliance, limited data-driven risk monitoring, and poorly integrated information systems can be substantially addressed through a sustained, collaborative, and well-supported application of FMEA.

Hospitals are therefore encouraged to institutionalize FMEA within their internal quality management systems, invest in staff training and safety culture initiatives, and develop integrated information platforms for real-time risk documentation and analysis. Leadership commitment at the executive level remains essential to ensuring adequate policy support and resource allocation for long-term sustainability.

Future research should focus on empirical investigations that assess the direct impact of FMEA on reducing Risk Priority Numbers (RPN), improving operational performance, and enhancing long-term patient safety outcomes. Through a more integrative and evidence-based application, FMEA can serve as a foundational tool for strengthening risk management and quality assurance, particularly in CSSD sterilization workflows.

References

Bal, B., & Balaraju, A. (2025). Overview of the central sterilization supply department, an integral part of the hospital. *Journal of Infection in Developing Countries, 19*(3), 325–334. https://doi.org/10.3855/jidc.18646

Bodane, S. R., Jarad, R., Moholkar, N., Suryavanshi, P., Yadav, M., & Bhavsar, D. (2024). The study of quality control in CSSD department. *International Journal of Advanced Research in Arts, Science, Engineering & Management (IJARASEM), 11*(6), 7721–7724. http://www.ijarasem.com/

Costa, R., Montenegro, H. R. A., Silva, R. N., & Almeida Filho, A. J. (2020). The role of central sterile supply department nursing team members: An integrative review. *Escola Anna Nery, 24*(3), e20190316. https://doi.org/10.1590/2177-9465-EAN-2019-0316

Guédon, A. C. P., Wauben, L. S. G. L., van der Eijk, A. C., Vernooij, A. S. N., Meeuwsen, F. C., van der Elst, M., Hoeijmans, V., Dankelman, J., & van den Dobbelsteen, J. J. (2016). Where are my instruments? Hazards in delivery of surgical instruments. *Surgical Endoscopy, 30*(7), 2728–2735. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00464-015-4537-7

Joseph, L., Rabindranath, B., Ponnie, F., & Lee, P. (2021). Achieving continuous improvement in CSSD management through performance measurements using user satisfaction surveys and interventions. *Global Journal on Quality and Safety in Healthcare, 4*(4), 123–130. https://doi.org/10.36401/JQSH-20-43

Kammoun, M., Hachicha, W., & Aljuaid, H. (2021). Integrating quality tools and methods to analyze and improve a hospital sterilization process. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, *18*(17), 9296. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18179296

Kang, Y., Wang, L., & Yu, M. (2022). Healthcare failure mode and effect analysis for improving the qualification rate of disinfection quality monitoring process. *Journal of Infection and Public Health, 15*(6), 641–647. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jiph.2022.03.002

Najafpour, Z., Hasoumi, M., Behzadi Fard, M., Jafari, M., & Saeedi, M. (2017). Evaluating the application of failure mode and effects analysis in hospital wards: A systematic review. *BMJ Open Quality, 6*(2), e000202. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjoq-2017-000202

Padmasari, D. A., & Harjanto, D. (2023). Evaluasi manajemen central sterile supply departement. *Jurnal Kesehatan Bakti Tunas Husada, 23*(1), 175–182. https://doi.org/10.36465/jkbth.v23i1.1086

Putra, R. P. A., & Masduqi, A. (2023). Central sterile supply department (CSSD) management quality. *Jurnal Manajemen Kesehatan Indonesia, 11*(3), 238–245. https://doi.org/10.14710/jmki.11.3.238-245

Sagherian, K., & Hylton Jaye, C. (2023). Healthcare application of failure mode and effect analysis: Is there room in the infectious disease setting? *American Journal of Infection Control, 51*(1), 52–57. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajic.2022.05.012

Venzin, V., Cruz, D. J. M., & Ventura, A. J. (2022). Assessing the risk of occupational hazard using the FMEA tool. *International Journal of Health Sciences, 6*(S2), 7508–7521. https://doi.org/10.53730/ijhs.v6nS2.7642

Wahid, A. D., & Siregar, A. (2024). Analisis penerapan standar operasional prosedur (SOP) pada alat autoclave dengan menggunakan steam generator. *Interdisciplinary Journal of MedTech and EcoEngineering (IJME), 1*(2), 16–23.

Yeo, L., & Meena, R. (2022). Connect the dots in applying FMEA approach. *International Journal of Innovative Science and Research Technology, 7*(7), 1066–1071. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.6906189

Zhang, Y., He, D., Bao, J., Jiang, G., & Min, X. (2022). Study on the application of FMEA method in risk management of non-sterile implants in orthopaedics. *Sterile Supply, 1*(3), 98–100. https://doi.org/10.11910/j.issn.2791-2043.2022.3.04

Zheng, W. (2023). Research advances in the application of FMEA in CSSD. *Sterile Supply, 2*(4), 205–207. https://doi.org/10.11910/j.issn.2791-2043.2023.4.08