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Abstract

This study aims to demonstrate the influence of the quality of financial statements, foreign ownership, the frequency of audit committee meetings, and the auditor industry specialization to the efficiency of investment. This study population is a company listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange except banks and securities companies in 2009-2013. The samples in this study using purposive sampling method. The samples used for this study is total 561 companies. Hypothesis testing is done by multiple linear regression. The findings of this study are: 1) foreign ownership is significant positive effect on the efficiency of investment, 2) the frequency of audit committee meetings significant positive effect on the efficiency of investment, 3) industry specialization auditor negative effect not exhibited significantly to the efficiency of investment, and 4) the quality of financial statements significant negative effect on the efficiency of investment.

Keywords: Foreign ownership, Audit Committee, Auditor Industry Specialization, Financial Reports, Efficiency of Investment.

Introduction

Investment is an important economic activity in the financial management of the company, such as the country's economic development, provide employment, and reduce poverty (John, 2011). Quality financial reporting can reduce the information gap (asymmetry of information) between the manager and the fund provider (Biddle et al., 2009 and Cheng et al., 2013). Agency theory indicates that the information gap that occurs between managers and shareholders can encourage the emergence of moral hazard and adverse selection and ultimately occur agency conflicts (Jensen and Meckling, 1976 in Biddle et al., 2009). Both of these conditions occur when the activities undertaken manager does not receive adequate supervision. If unattended, the manager will be encouraged to utilize the company's resources for personal gain.

From various previous studies such as Gomaris and Ballesta (2014), Chen et al., (2013), Lin et al., (2008), Bae and Choi (2012) found several factors that can reduce the tendency of managers do the inefficiency of investment (over / under investment) are: the quality of financial reports, the frequency of audit committee meetings, foreign ownership, and the auditor industry specialization.
Chen et al., (2013) provide evidence of a positive relationship between foreign ownership and investment efficiency. They argue that foreign ownership can reduce agency conflict, thus improving the mechanisms of corporate governance and financial transparency. Declining moral hazard encourages managers to take investment decisions in accordance with the interests of shareholders. Foreign ownership is a proportion of the company's common stock owned by individuals, legal entities, government and the status of its parts abroad or individuals, legal entities, government does not come from Indonesia (Wiranata, 2013).

Based on the above presentation, the author will combine a variety of independent variables that exist in research Gomariz et al., (2013), namely the quality of financial statements; research Chen et al., (2013), namely foreign ownership; Research Lin et al., (2008), namely the frequency of audit committee meetings; as well as research Bae and Choi (2012), namely the auditor industry specialization in influencing investment inefficiency.

**Conceptual Framework**

**Literature Review**

**Agency theory**

Agency theory to explain conflict of interest between the various parties associated in the company. Conflicts of interest occur due to differences in the objectives of each party based on the position and interests of the company (Ibrahim, 2007).

Jensen and Meckling (1976) identifies a conflict of interest in an agency relationship. Conflicts of interest between the owner and the agent because the agent did not act in accordance with the interests of the principal sparking agency costs (agency cost). There are two forms of agency relationships, ie between managers and shareholders, as well as the relationship between the manager and the provider of pinjamane (Bondholder). In order for a contractual relationship running smoothly, then the (principal) will inform decision making authority to another party (the agent). Agency theory explains that the management (agent) will always act in the interests of shareholders (principal) is hard to believe, so it requires the supervisory role of shareholders (Copeland and Weston, 1992).
Signalling Theory

Signalling theory emphasizes the importance of information released by the company that may affect investment decisions outside the company. The information released will be a guide for investors to make investment decisions. According to Ross (1977) the existence of information asymmetry can be taken as a good reason for the company to use financial information to send signals or information to the market.

According Jogiyanto (2000), the information published as an announcement of the company's condition will give a signal to investors in making investment decisions. If the information contains a positive value, it is expected that the information will be received well by the market. While Holthausen and Leftwich (1983), argues that the policy manager to provide information to investors can help investors predict future performance of the company. Managers provide financial information to the stakeholders who can influence the value of the company.

Investment Theory

Investment is an asset used by the company for growth of wealth (wealth accretion) through the distribution of investment returns (such as interest, royalties, dividends, and rents) to obtain other benefits for companies that invest, as the benefits gained through trade relations. Inventory and fixed assets does not constitute investment (SAK, 1999). Investments can also be interpreted as an investing activity, either directly or indirectly, in the hope of capital owners benefit from the results of such investments in the future (Hamid, 1995). There are four investment criteria used in practice:
1. Payback Period
2. Benefit Cost Ratio (B / C Ratio)
3. Net Present Value (NPV)
4. Internal Rate of Return (IRR)

Efficiency Investments

Investment is an asset used by the company for equity growth (accretion wealth) through the distribution of investment returns (such as interest, royalties, dividends, and rents) to the appreciation of the value of the investment or to obtain other benefits for companies that invest, as the benefits gained through relationships trading. Capital budgeting is considered important for the company because if the company wrong in estimating, for example, the investment is too large (overinvestment) then there will be loads that should not even exist. Conversely, if the investment is too small (underinvestment) the company will be a shortage of production capacity (Rahmawati, 2014).

In the above theory, it can be concluded that the investment efficiency is the level of investment expected by a company. According to Bushman and Smith (2001) in Siregar (2011), the condition of underinvestment is a situation where the company missed investment opportunities that will generate Net Present Value (NPV) is positive, while the condition of overinvestment is a condition in which an investment project NPV value negative.
Integrity Financial Statements

The financial statements show the results of management accountability for the use of resources entrusted to them, then the user will get a clear picture of the economic resources of companies and how the effects of transactions and events change the economic resources of the (Kieso et al., 2007 in Mutmainnah, 2012).

The financial reports have high integrity, it can be relied upon as an honest presentation and describe the actual condition of the company, thus enabling users of accounting information depends on the information (image, 2008). Therefore, the financial statements that consists of high integrity information will affect the decisions users of financial statements to make decisions.

Foreign Ownership

Foreign investment is investment activity to conduct business in the territory of the Republic of Indonesia by foreign investors, hence the presence of the foreign investment will give rise to foreign ownership (Maulida, 2013). According to Hadi and Sabeni (2002) in Anggraini (2011) that foreign companies receive better training in accounting from the parent company abroad, foreign companies may have a more efficient information system to meet internal needs. According Temouri et al., (2008) is considered more foreign ownership has a lot of business experience as well as access to superior technology. Control measures carried out by a company and the foreign shareholders can restrict the behavior of managers in control and decision making (Cornet et al., 2006 in Wulandari, 2014).

Audit Quality

De Angelo (1981) defines audit quality as the probability (likelihood) in which an auditor discovered and reported on the existence of an infringement in the accounting system of its clients.

In carrying out his professional duties, the auditor should be guided by the standards set and approved by the Indonesian Institute of Public Accounting (IAPI), which consists of general standards, standards of field work and reporting standards. Auditing standards are guidelines for the audit of historical financial statements. Auditing standards consist of ten standards and specified in the form of Statement on Auditing Standards (PSA). Common standards regulate the terms themselves auditors, field work standards regulate the quality of the implementation of auditing and reporting standards provide guidance for the auditor to communicate the results of the audit by the audit report to the users of the financial information.

Auditor Industry Specialization

According to Lee (2007) auditor industry specialization are those who work in specific fields such as banking, insurance or manufacturing and indicates that the auditor has audit specific industry expertise and perform the audit more reliable and better in the sector. Auditors said if the auditor industry specialization has many clients in the same industry (Andrew, 2012). According Franchis and Stokes (1986) in Florinie (2006) auditor industry specialization that is owned by the accounting firm had a positive impact because it can increase the audit fee. Owhoso (2002) also states that the auditor industry
specialization to have a better knowledge and specific so as to understand the characteristics of the company more quickly and comprehensively.

**Good Corporate Governance**

*Asian Development Bank* (ADB) explained that the GCG contains four core values, namely: *Accountability, Transparency, Predictability, and Participation*. According to the Decree of the Minister of State-Owned Enterprises No. KEP-117 / M-MBU / 2002 dated July 31, 2012 *Good Corporate Governance* (GCG) is a process and structure used by the organs of state-owned enterprises to improve business success and accountability companies in order to create shareholder value over the long term by taking into account the interests of other stakeholders, based on the laws and ethical values.

**Audit Committee**

According to the National Committee on Corporate Governance, the Audit Committee is a committee comprising one or more members of the Board of Commissioners and can ask for an outsider with a variety of expertise, experience, and other qualities needed to achieve the objectives of the Audit Committee.

In the Decree of the Minister of State-Owned Enterprises No. KEP-103 / MBU / 2002 states that the Audit Committee is a body under the Commissioner that at least a minimum of one Commissioner, and two experts who are not employees of SOEs is concerned that is independent both in and the performance of its duties and reporting directly accountable to the Commissioner or Board of Trustees.

**Audit Committee meeting**

In every *audit committee charters* held by each member, the audit committee will meet periodically for meetings and can hold additional meetings or special meetings when necessary (Anggarini, 2010). Research conducted by Orphan (2009) showed that the Audit Committee meet regularly could reduce problems in financial reporting (Wulandari, 2012 in Ruwita, 2012).

Meeting periodically fixed by its own audit committee and carried out at least equal to the provision of board meetings determined in the articles of association of the company. The audit committee typically need to hold meetings three to four times a year to carry out the obligations and responsibilities (FCGI 2002 in Anggarini, 2010).

**Hypothesis Development**

H1: Foreign ownership positively affects investment efficiency
H2: The frequency of audit committee meetings positive effect on the efficiency of investment
H3: Auditor Industry Specialization positive effect on the efficiency of investment
H4: The quality of financial statements affect the investment efficiency
Research Method

Dependent Variables

The dependent variable used in this research is the efficiency of investment. Investment efficiency was measured using the level of investment based on growth opportunities using sales growth (Biddle et al., 2009).

Investments $i, t + 1 = \beta_0 + \beta_1 Sales\ Growth\ i, t + \varepsilon_i, t + 1$ .................. (1)

Description:
Investments $i, t + 1$ = the total size of the asset purchase net of asset sales, then divided by the total assets last year

Sales Growth $i, t$ = the presentation of changes in sales from last year to this year.

Independent Variables

The independent variables in this study are:

Quality of financial statements

The quality of the financial statements is measured by discretionary accruals obtained from the model Kasznik (1999).

$Acci, t / Tai, t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \Delta Salesi, t / Tai, t + \beta_2 PPEi, t / Tai, t + \beta_3 \Delta CFOi, t / Tai, t + \varepsilon_i, t$ ........... (2)

Description:
$Acci, t$ = total accrual, calculated by deducting changes in non-current assets plus current liabilities changes in short-term bank loans, net of depreciation then divided by total assets in year t.
$Tai, t$ = total assets in year t
$\Delta Salesi, t / Tai, t$ = change in income divided by total assets year t
$IETC, t / Tai, t$ = properties, land, equipment divided by total assets year t
$\Delta CFOi, t / Tai, t$ = cash flow from operating activities

Foreign ownership

Foreign ownership associated with information asymmetry and better governance. The amount of foreign ownership is measured by the way.

Foreign Ownership

$= \frac{The\ number\ of\ shares\ owned\ by\ foreigners}{Number\ of\ shares\ outstanding}$

Frequency of meetings of the audit committee

Greater frequency of meetings associated with decreased financial reporting issues and improve the quality of external audits (DeZoort et al., 2002). Therefore, the frequency of audit committee meetings will affect the efficiency of investment which can diihat of financial reporting.
Audit committee meeting frequency is measured by the number of audit committee meetings in one year and can be seen from the company's annual report.

**Auditor industry specialization**

To measure the auditor industry specialization used proxy *client sales total* with the following formula (Balsam *et al.*, 2003; Krishnan 2003; Mayhew and Wilkins 2003; Dunn and Mayhew, 2004; Lim and Tan 2008).

\[ M_{MTSHR_{jk}} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{k} \sqrt{SALES \ or \ ASSETS_{ijk}}}{\sum_{j=1}^{l} \sum_{k=1}^{q} \sqrt{SALES \ or \ ASSETS_{ijk}}} \]

**Variable Control**

**Firm Size**

The size of the company is used to control the effects of fiscal business. Company size measured by the natural logarithm of the book value of total assets (SIZE).

**Leverage**

Leverage is used to control the administration of the investment credit. Leverage measured by the ratio of total assets and liabilities (LEVERAGE).

**Population and Sample Research**

Population is the sum of the whole group that attracted the attention of researchers for the study (have now, 2006). The population used in this research is financial statements and annual report of listed companies in Indonesia Stock Exchange (BEI).

**Result**

**Analysis Descriptive Statistics**

Here are the results of descriptive statistics 109 observation data have been normal.
Table 1. Descriptive Statistics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source: Secondary data were processed (2015)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Based on the above data can be explained as follows:

1. **Absolute Investments (ABS_INVES)**

   Descriptive analysis of the results showed the lowest value (minimum) and highest value (maximum) of investments absolute is -0.05 and 0.00. The value of the investment efficiency is calculated from the sales growth. Low values reflect the company has low investment value. The lower the value is generated, then the lower the efficiency of the investment firm. The average value for the inefficiency of investment of -0.0241, which means the general inefficiency of the company an average of -2.41%. Whereas the standard deviation of 0.01211 which showed irregularities amounting to 1.211% of each score with the mean score.

2. **Quality of Financial Statements (K_LK)**

   Descriptive analysis of the results showed that the lowest value (minimum) quality of financial reports is -0.57 and the highest value (maximum) of the quality of financial statements is 0.00. The quality of the financial statements are measured using discretionary accruals Kasznik models. While the average value of -0.2283. The standard deviation of 0.16056 which showed irregularities amounted to 16.056% of each score with the mean score.

3. **Foreign Ownership (KPA)**

   Descriptive analysis of the results showed that the lowest value (minimum) foreign ownership is 2% and the highest value (maximum) foreign ownership is 18%. Foreign ownership is measured by the percentage of foreign ownership in a company-owned. A high score reflects that the company has a high percentage of foreign ownership as well. Thus, the greater the percentage of foreign ownership, the greater the foreign party control that can increase the efficiency of investment. While the average value of 0.6763 which means that in general the company had an average percentage of foreign ownership of 67.63%. Standard deviation of 1.88893 indicates a deviation occurs at 188.893% of each score with the mean score.

4. **Frequency of Meetings of the Audit Committee (FREQ)**
Descriptive analysis of the results showed that the lowest value (minimum) frequency of audit committee meetings is 1 and the highest (maximum) frequency of audit committee meetings is 48, PT Plaza Indonesia Realty Tbk. The value also shows how the members of the audit committee have the knowledge, expertise and experience in the field of accounting. While the average value of 7.3028 which means that in general companies establish an audit committee meeting by 7 times. 7.45667 standard deviation indicates a deviation occurs at 745.667% of the score with every mean score.

5. **Leverage (LEV)**

Descriptive analysis showed that the lowest value (minimum) leverage is 0.48, and the highest value (maximum) leverage is 17.94. The greater the value the greater the leverage debt held by the company. While the average value of 2.6666. It means that the debt can be paid with any two rupiah assets. This reflects the company's good condition. The standard deviation of 2.31457 indicates a deviation occurs at 231.457% of each score with the mean score.

6. **Size (SIZE)**

Descriptive analysis showed that the lowest value (minimum) size is equal to 23.55 and the highest value (maximum) size is 34.93. The larger the value, the greater the size of the companies which is proxied by total assets. While the average value of 28.1608. 1.78501 Standard deviation indicates the average deviation occurs at 178.501% of each score with the mean score.

7. **Auditor Industry Specialization (SPEC)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SPEC</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumulative Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>.00</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>83.5</td>
<td>83.5</td>
<td>83.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valid</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The table above shows that the number of companies audited by the auditor industry specialization is at 18 and the sample companies were audited by the auditor industry specialization is equal to non 91. In addition, the table also shows the percentage of the sample companies in the audit by the auditor industry specialization is 16.5% and the percentage of the sample companies were audited by the auditor non industrial specialization is 83.5%. This shows that the majority of sample firms audited by non auditor industry specialization.

**Investment Efficiency Calculation Results**

Calculation of investment efficiency is measured by the level of investment based on growth opportunities as measured by sales growth (ABS_INVES). The process of calculating investment efficiency obtained through several steps. The first is to perform a
regression between investments with sales growth to get a residual value. How to calculate investment efficiency obtained with the regression equation:

\[
\text{Investments } i, t + 1 = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{Sales Growth } i, t + \varepsilon_i, t + 1
\]

Having obtained the residual value of the regression between investment and sales growth, then the residual value will diabsolutkan. Furthermore, the absolute residual value will be multiplied by -1 (abs_inves). So residual high value reflects high investment efficiency.

**Calculation results Quality of Financial Statements**

Calculation of the quality of financial statements proxied by discretionary accruals obtained from the regression between the total accrual to total sales, PPE, and CFO. The model used to obtain discretionary accruals are Kasznik models. Discretionary accrual calculation process obtained through several steps. The formula to calculate the discretionary accruals:

\[
\text{Acci, t } / \text{TAI, t-1} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \Delta \text{Salesi, t-1} / \text{TAI, t-1} + \beta_2 \text{PPEi, t-1} / \text{TAI, t-1} + \beta_3 \Delta \text{CFOi, t-1} / \text{TAI, t-1} + \varepsilon_i, t
\]

Having obtained the residual value of the regression equation, then the residual value will diabsolutkan to avoid discretionary expenses in skresioner positive and negative charges. Residual values are already diabsolutkan then multiplied by -1. Having obtained the residual value of the regression equation, then the residual value will diabsolutkan to avoid discretionary expenses positive and negative discretionary costs. Residual values are already diabsolutkan then multiplied by -1.

**Data Analysis**

**Classic assumption test**

**Normality test**

Normality test aims to test whether the regression model, or residual confounding variables have a normal distribution. Normality test on the research done by using Kolmogorov Sminov with the provision that if \( \text{sig} \) is above the 0.05 level of significance, the data can be considered normal. Here are the results of a test of normality:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tests of Normality</th>
<th>Kolmogorov-Smirnov</th>
<th>Shapiro-Wilk</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Statistic</td>
<td>df</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standardized Residual</td>
<td>0.3000</td>
<td>891</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( a \) Lilliefor Significance Correction

Sumber : Data sekunder yang diolah
Table 3 shows the independent variable quality of financial reports have preliminary data that is equal to 561 observations have a normal distribution. It can be seen from the significance of Kolmogorov-Smirnov beginning less than 0.05 is 0.000.

Table 4. Normality Test Results 1 Accrual discretionary (after normal data)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tests of Normality</th>
<th>Kolmogorov-Smirnov</th>
<th>Shapiro-Wilk</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sig.</td>
<td>Sig.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unstandardized Residual</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* This is a lower bound of the true significance.

From table 4 it can be seen that the results of the normality test of Kolmogorov-Smirnov sig by 2 > 0.05 so that it can be concluded that the normal data as much as 364.

Table 5. Results of Testing Normality 2 Investments (before normal data)

Table 5 shows the dependent variable investment have preliminary data that is equal to 364 observations have a normal distribution. It can be seen from the significance of Kolmogorov-Smirnov beginning less than 0.05 is 0.000.

Table 6. Normality Test Results 2 Investments (after normal data)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tests of Normality</th>
<th>Kolmogorov-Smirnov</th>
<th>Shapiro-Wilk</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sig.</td>
<td>Sig.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unstandardized Residual</td>
<td>0.067</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* This is a lower bound of the true significance.

From Table 6 it can be seen that the results of the normality test of Kolmogorov-Smirnov sig by 2 > 0.05 so that it can be concluded that the normal data as much as 201.

Table 7. Normality Test Results 3 Efficiency Investments (before normal data)

Table 7 shows the combined regression dependent variable investments, foreign kepemilikan independent variables, the frequency of audit committee meetings, auditor industry specialization, and the quality of financial reports have preliminary data that is equal to 201 observations have a normal distribution. It can be seen from the significance of Kolmogorov-Smirnov beginning less than 0.05 is 0.000.
Table 8. Normality Test Results 3 Efficiency Investment (after normal data)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tests of Normality</th>
<th>Kolmogorov-Smirnov</th>
<th>Shapiro-Wilk</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Statistic</td>
<td>df</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unstandardized Residual</td>
<td>0.085</td>
<td>109</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* This is a lower bound of the true significance.
a. Lilliefors Significance Correction

From Table 8 it can be seen that the results of the normality test of Kolmogorov-Smirnov sig by 2> 0.05 and Shapiro-Wilk sig value of 0.078> 0.05 so that it can be concluded that the normal data as much as 109.

Test Multicollinearity

Multicollinearity test aims to test whether the regression model found a correlation between the independent variables (independent). A good regression model there will be no relation between the independent variables. Multicollinearity test is done by analyzing the correlation between the independent variables on the value of tolerance and variance inflation factor (VIF) in collinearity statistics. The data sample is said not to have a problem multicollinearity if the tolerance values> 0.1 and VIF <10.

Based on the table 9 can be seen the value of tolerance> 0.1 and VIF <10. This shows that data research has no multicollinearity.

Table 9. Multicollinearity Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Unstandardized Coefficients</th>
<th>Standardized Coefficients</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>Sig</th>
<th>Collinearity Statistics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Constant)</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>0.170</td>
<td>0.881</td>
<td>a. Collinearity Index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F_LK</td>
<td>-0.021</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>-0.222</td>
<td>0.835</td>
<td>0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NP</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.107</td>
<td>2.245</td>
<td>0.034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIR</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>-0.217</td>
<td>-0.217</td>
<td>0.514</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSCC</td>
<td>-0.022</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>-0.205</td>
<td>-0.205</td>
<td>0.236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNE</td>
<td>-0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.121</td>
<td>0.223</td>
<td>0.077</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MU</td>
<td>-0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.121</td>
<td>0.223</td>
<td>0.077</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Test Heteroscedasticity

Heteroscedasticity test aims to test whether the regression model occurred inequality residual variance from one observation to another observation. Heteroscedasticity test in this study conducted by Glejser test. In the test glejser, if sig> 0.05 then the regression model in the study did not contain any heteroscedasticity.
Based on the above results obtained significant values $> 0.05$ for all variables in the regression models so that the regression model in this study had homoscedasticity or not heteroskedasticity.

**Test Autocorrelation**

Autocorrelation test aims to test whether the linear regression model there is a correlation between bullies error in period t-1 (previous) or whether there is a correlation exists between the residuals on the observation by other observations in the regression model. Autocorrelation test used in time series data and the period of more than one year. Autocorrelation test in this study is done by using the Durbin-Watson test. It said autocorrelation does not occur if the value $du < dw < 4 - du$.

Durbin-Watson value indicates the number 1,913, which is between the range of 1.8052 < $DW < (4-1.8052)$. This means that the regression model has durbin-watson value that is in between the value and the value of 4-du du. That in the regression model there is no autocorrelation.

**Test F**

F test known as Model test or ANOVA test, the test is done to see how the effect of all independent variables together against the dependent variable. Here are the results of the F test.
Table 12. Results of Testing Test F

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Sum of Squares</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>Mean Square</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>Sig</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Regression</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>5.076</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on the test results showed the number of F significance 0.000 <0.05. This suggests that the model in this study is fit. As well as can be deduced that the model can be used to measure the efficiency of investment.

Test Adjusted R Square (Coeficien Determination)

The coefficient of determination is to determine the proportion or percentage of the total variation in the dependent variable explained by the independent variable. Because the analysis is multiple regression, it is used is the value of Adjusted R Square. Here are the test results Adjusted R square:

Table 13. Adjusted R Square Test Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>R Square</th>
<th>Adjusted R Square</th>
<th>Std. Error of the Estimate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.490</td>
<td>0.380</td>
<td>0.185</td>
<td>0.01064</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Adjusted R-square value obtained for 0.185, which means that the ability of independent variables to explain the magnitude of the variation in the dependent variable is 18.5% and the rest is explained by other variables not included in the equation. Adjusted R2 levels are low in this study indicate that the independent variables used in this study had a small effect on the efficiency of investment.

Hypothesis testing

Hypothesis testing is done by multiple regression test to determine the structure of ownership (foreign ownership), corporate governance (the frequency of audit committee meetings), quality audit (industrial specialties auditor), and the quality of financial statements (accrual discretionary), the efficiency of investment proxied by sales growth that has diabsolutkan data (ABS_INVES). The test results are as follows:
Table 14. Hypothesis Testing Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Unstandardized Coefficients</th>
<th>Standardized Coefficients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Std. Error</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>(Const)</td>
<td>0.0008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KPA</td>
<td>0.0012</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FREEK</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPEC</td>
<td>-0.0003</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V_LK</td>
<td>-0.0016</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIZE</td>
<td>-0.0011</td>
<td>0.0011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEV</td>
<td>0.0019</td>
<td>0.0024</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Dependent Variable: ABO_INVES*

Testing Hypothesis 1

Hypothesis 1, that the foreign ownership positively affects investment efficiency. Based on regression analysis known coefficient of foreign ownership (KPA) of 0.0012 and a significance value of 0.017 <0.05. That is the structure of the company proxy with foreign ownership affect the efficiency of investment so that the first hypothesis is accepted.

Foreign investors provide effective oversight and disciplinary role that can reduce the agency problem between managers and investors (Huang and Lee, 2013). So that managers will be more responsible in financial reporting quality and prevent state of overinvestment or underinvestment.

Testing Hypothesis 2

Hypothesis 2 is the frequency of audit committee meetings positive effect on the efficiency of investment. Based on regression analysis found the frequency of audit committee meetings coefficient of 0.0003 and a significance value of 0.009 <0.05. It means that corporate governance is proxied by the frequency of audit committee meetings significant positive effect on the efficiency of the investment so that the second hypothesis is accepted.

The audit committee has responsibility for the company's financial reporting. The audit committee must ensure that the management gives a picture of the real company through the financial statements. Thus, the frequency of meetings of the audit committee of a company that can increase oversight of management. So as to improve investment efficiency anyway.

Testing Hypothesis 3

Hypothesis 3 that auditor industry specialization positive effect on the efficiency of investment. Based on regression analysis known coefficient auditor industry specialization (SPEC) of -0.0031 and a significance value of 0.149 > 0.05. It means that the quality of audit proxied by the auditor industry specialization does not affect the efficiency of investment so that the third hypothesis is rejected.
This study does not support the research conducted by Bae and Choi (2012) who found that the efficiency of investment positive significant effect on the company that uses the auditor industry specialization than non specialization industry. However, this study is consistent with research conducted by Hardiningsih (2010) who found that the auditor industry specialization does not affect the efficiency of investment.

Testing Hypothesis 4

Hypothesis 4 is the quality of financial reporting affect the efficiency of investment. Based on regression analysis found earnings management coefficient (K_LK) of -0.0210 and a significance value of 0.001 <0.05. That is the quality of the financial statements are proxied by discretionary accruals and the statistics negatively affect significantly to the efficiency of the investment so that the fourth hypothesis is accepted.

This study is not in line with research conducted by Gomariz and Ballesta (2014) that the high quality of the report which positively affects investment efficiency. The results of the same study also found by Biddle et al., (2009) where they found a negative relationship between the quality of financial reporting by overinvestment and underinvestment.

Testing of control variables

1. Leverage

   Based on the regression coefficient of 0.001 and the leverage it gained significance value 0.079> 0.05 means no significant positive leverage effect on the efficiency of investment that leverage variable can not be a variable that bridges the relationship between the variables of foreign ownership, the frequency of audit committee meetings, auditors and quality industrial specialization financial statements. The cause of these results because the company has a high debt will affect the company's financial risk of the company will increase. So as to affect the earnings and cash in on the company.

2. Size

   Based on the regression coefficient of -0.001 size and significance value of 0.035 means that the variable size significant negative effect on the efficiency of investment. This indicates both when the size of the company is large or small, fixed investment efficiency can be achieved by looking at trends, the condition of the company, as well as information contained in the financial statements (Mutmainnah, 2012)
Conclusion

After doing research on the effect of the quality of financial statements, foreign ownership, the frequency of audit committee meetings, and the auditor industry specialization to the efficiency of investments listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange (BEI) 2010-2013, it can be concluded that:
1. The quality of financial statements affect the efficiency of investment.
2. Foreign ownership affect the efficiency of investment.
3. The frequency of audit committee meetings affect the efficiency of investment.
4. The auditor industry specialization does not affect the efficiency of investment.
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